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INTRODUCTION

Agriculture contributes key role to the economic growth of many low-income countries. It is often the leading sector of the economy as source of income, employment and foreign exchange. More than half of the less developed countries population gets their food from own-production. Agricultural output also is used as an input for industries so it can stimulate the growth of industrialization. Improving agricultural productivity thus contributes to income growth [1].

Agriculture in Ethiopia is heavily dependent on rainfall, which is highly varies both spatially and temporally. In many parts of Ethiopia, agricultural development is obstructed by recurrent droughts, which over the years have increased both in frequency and severity in many parts of the country. In the past 30 years, the drought incidence has become common pattern every two to three years [2]. This, therefore, calls for different interventions, irrigation being one of the options, which could help in adapting strategies to cope up with the challenging drought. Tigray is one of the most land-degraded states of Ethiopia. The region is characterized by subsistence farming households raising predominantly cereal and vegetable crops for local consumption and sale. Crop production in the region has failed to keep pace with population growth due to recurrent droughts, environmental degradation and wars, including the most recent conflict with Eritrea [3].

Irrigation in Ethiopia contributes to increase farmers’ income, household resilience and buffering. Irrigation contributes to livelihood improvement through its direct and indirect benefits. The direct benefits of irrigation are; high productivity, lower risk of crop failure, and higher and year-round production. Irrigation enables smallholders to adopt more diversified cropping patterns, and diversify income base sources. Indirectly irrigation benefits as a potential to become ‘nuclues of growth’ which are attractive for inward investments in other infrastructure and services such as banking to facilitate this growth [4].

The government of Ethiopia has placed great emphasis on the development of irrigation facilities so as to increase agricultural production and productivity. This may help farmers overcome the cost problem for modern irrigation construction and overcome the problem of shortage of moisture for production. In line with this goal, the government also has planned to undertake a medium and large-scale irrigation study and designing activities and making them ready for concerned relevant stakeholders [5].

According to the Bureau of Water Resources (BoWR), the Tigray Region (northern Ethiopia), where the study took place, has an irrigation potential of 36.4% (about 340,000 ha of the estimated 933,000 ha cultivable land owned by small holder farmers). Moreover, there was little or no documentation on the economic benefits of small-scale irrigation technologies for women-headed households. Therefore, the aim of this study is to analyse the role of small-scale irrigation on household income improvement in Ayba and Atsela kebelles of Southern Tigray.

METHODOLOGY

Data collection and sample size

This study was based on both primary and secondary data collected from households in Ayba and Atsela Kebele of Emba Alaje district in Southern Zone, Tigray Regional State, Ethiopia. For the primary data collection, on participant and non-participant households’ socio-economic and demographic features, interview by enumerators with a pre-tested semi structured questionnaire was used. While for the secondary data, government institutions, non-governmental offices, community-based organizations, research centers were used as main sources.

This study used a multi stage sampling technique of purposively selecting the Ayba and Atsela Kebele, due to the implementation of the small-scale irrigation scheme, followed by two-stage random sampling. In the first stage, the name of households was obtained from the Kebele office and stratified into two groups of Irrigation user and non-users. In the second stage, 70 non-irrigation user households and 67 irrigation users in the kebeles were randomly.

Methods of data analysis

To achieve its objective, this study used both descriptive statistics and econometric analysis. By applying descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, frequency of appearance and Propensity Score Matching (PSM) econometric model.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Income source of sample households

From the survey results, in Table 1 the minimum and maximum net income from crop production of the total sample respondents was 1600 and 71300 ETB respectively. The mean crop income for the irrigation users was found to be 35027.39 ETB whereas the average income from crop for that of non-users was 11366.43 ETB. The mean comparison for the two groups showed that there is a statistically significant difference between two groups in terms of crop income at 1 percent significance level.

This shows that irrigation-users had a better crop income than those non-irrigation user households. In Ethiopia, Mengistie and Kidane [6] found that the use of irrigation technology significantly improved crop income.

Table 1 presented that, the annual average income of irrigation users from safety net program, petty trade and remittance was 1135.8, 1549.2 and 1470.1 ETB respectively. However, there is no significant difference in safety net program, petty trade and increases the opportunity to produce two times a year on the same farm and crop diversification, which increase annual income. This result is consistent with the findings of previous studies [9,10]. These all studies indicated that access to irrigation schemes has led to significant increase in household income.

Estimated average treatment effect

Annual household income: The impact estimation result presented in Table 2 provides supportive evidence of statistically significant effect of participation in small scale irrigated farming on annual farm income. On average, participation in small scale irrigated farming had impact on annual farm income of the participant households by Birr 33,295.11, 31,275.6, and 31,007.89 in Nearest-neighbour, Radius and Kernel matching methods respectively. This difference was statistically significant at 1% significance level respectively per household.

CONCLUSION

This study examined the impact of small-scale irrigation on household income. The study used propensity score matching to explore the impact of small-scale irrigation on household income in the study area. The sample of 137 farm households selected by multi-stage sampling technique was used in
the analysis. The impact analysis of participation in small-scale irrigation on household income by propensity score matching using Nearest-neighbour matching, Radius matching and Kernel matching algorithm revealed that there was a significant difference on the income of households between irrigation-user and non-irrigation-user due to participation in small-scale irrigated farming. The average treatment effect on the treated was ETB 33295.11, 31275.6 and 31007.89 respectively and it was significant at 1% significance level. The study findings show that small-scale irrigation significantly increases households’ income from crop and vegetable production. This leads households to have access to more diversified food, health, and education services and improved housing. Therefore, participation in irrigation helps the households to generate additional income in the study area.
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